



MCI Docket No. COS 98 044  
NP Docket No. 742435-23

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Curtis T. COMBAR et al.

Application No. 09/159,404

Filed: September 24, 1998

For: INTEGRATED PROXY INTERFACE  
FOR WEB BASED DATA  
MANAGEMENT REPORTS

) Group Art Unit: 3621

) Examiner: J. Hayes

) Confirmation No. 5086

)

) April 26, 2004

)

*Enter*  
*9-16-04*  
*[Signature]*

*Enter*  
*9-16-05*  
*[Signature]*

**AMENDMENT**

Mail Stop **AF**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**Amendments to the Claims** begin on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 7 of this paper.



WorldCom Docket No. COS 98 044  
NP Docket No. 742435-23

#3203  
423  
mel

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:	)	Group Art Unit: 2161
Curtis T. Combar et al.	)	Examiner: J. Hayes
Serial No. 09/159,404	)	
Filed: September 24, 1998	)	
For: Integrated Proxy Interface For Web Based	)	
Data Management Reports	)	

Enter  
9-16-05  
[Signature]  
Enter  
4-16-05

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED  
APR 17 2003  
GROUP 3600

In response to the Office Action dated March 11, 2003, reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Applicant would like to thank Examiner Hayes for the courtesies extended to Applicants' representative, Mr. Jason Vick, during the recent telephone interviews.

The Office Action objects to the specification for a number of informalities. In relation to these informalities, Applicant is currently reviewing the best approach to have this subject matter included in the specification. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this objection be held in abeyance until the indication of allowable subject matter.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-4, 7-17 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Chang in view of O'Reilly. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, said request message comprising a metadata description of particular reporting items to be retrieved, said metadata description of particular reporting items being verified and forwarded to said retrieval device, and said retrieving device obtaining customer specific data in accordance with the metadata request, whereby said customer-specific retrieved data and said metadata